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ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: To assess efficacy, safety, predictability, and patient satisfaction after refractive lens exchange with a new refractive rotational asymmetric multifocal intraocular lens.

METHODS: One hundred six eyes of 53 patients after bilateral refractive lens exchange with the SBL-3 lens (Lenstec, Inc., Christ Church, Barbados) implantation were evaluated. The mean preoperative refractive sphere was +1.06 ± 2.63 diopters (D) (range: -8.25 to +5.00 D) and the mean refractive cylinder was -0.51 ± 0.46 D (range: -2.00 to 0.00 D). Monocular and binocular uncorrected and corrected distance visual acuity, uncorrected and distance-corrected intermediate visual acuity, uncorrected and distance-corrected near visual acuity, defocus curve, and patient satisfaction were evaluated 3 months postoperatively.

RESULTS: At 3 months, 84.9% (90 eyes) were within ±0.50 D of emmetropia. The mean postoperative uncorrected distance visual acuity was -0.03 ± 0.09 logMAR (6/6 Snellen) monocularly and -0.08 ± 0.08 logMAR (6/4.8 Snellen) binocularly. The mean monocular and binocular uncorrected near visual acuity were 0.12 ± 0.12 and 0.08 ± 0.10 logMAR (6/7.5 Snellen), respectively. Defocus curve showed a slight drop off for vergences equivalent to intermediate vision. Although some night vision phenomena were reported, overall satisfaction was high. No intraoperative or postoperative complications occurred in this study.

CONCLUSIONS: The new refractive rotationally asymmetric intraocular lens provided good range of vision for near, intermediate, and distance. Long-term follow-ups are necessary to evaluate the performance of this intraocular lens.

Inclusion criteria were age of 45 years or older, corrected distance visual acuity (CDVA) of 6/6 or better in each eye, ametropia combined with presbyopia, and corneal astigmatism less than 1.50 diopter (D). Exclusion criteria were a history of glaucoma or retinal detachment, corneal disease, corneal surgery, ocular inflammation, neuro-ophthalmic disease, and macular degeneration or retinopathy. Informed consent was obtained from all patients. The study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the local ethics committee.

**Patient Assessment**

All patients had a full preoperative ophthalmologic examination, including refraction, distance and near visual acuities, slit-lamp examination, tonometry, and dilated funduscopv. Additionally, corneal topography (OPD-Scan II; NIDEK Co., Ltd., Gamagori, Japan), endothelial cell count (SP 2000P specular microscope; Topcon Europe BV, Capelle aan den IJssel, The Netherlands), biometry (IOLMaster; Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany), and retinal optical coherence tomography (Cirrus 4000 OCT; Carl Zeiss Meditec) were performed.

Postoperatively, patients were evaluated at 1 day, 1 week, and 1, 3, and 6 months. Visual acuities and the refractive status were measured at each follow-up. In addition, 3 months postoperative protocol included the following measurements: manifest refraction, uncorrected distance visual acuity, CDVA, uncorrected and distance-corrected intermediate visual acuity at 70 cm, uncorrected and distance-corrected near visual acuity at 40 cm, defocus curve, and patient satisfaction.

Distance visual acuity was evaluated with Snellen charts. Intermediate and near visual acuities were evaluated with the logarithmic near Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study chart. The defocus curve was obtained monocularly and binocularly with the patient’s best distance refractive correction while viewing a distance chart under photopic conditions. The negative lenses were added in 0.50-D steps and the visual acuity was recorded for each type of blur. The same procedure was repeated using positive lenses. Letter sequences were randomized between each level of defocus. Defocus acuities were first corrected for spectacle magnification and monocular and binocular defocus curves were constructed for vergence distances ranging between -4.00 and +1.00 D in 0.50-D steps.

A patient satisfaction questionnaire was administered at the 3-month follow-up visit. This questionnaire was purpose-developed to evaluate visual symptoms, satisfaction with visual acuity while performing various distance and near tasks, and overall satisfaction with surgery results (Table 1). Patients were asked to rate the incidence of visual phenomena such as starburst, halo, glare, and ghost images/double vision on a scale between 1 (no difficulty) and 7 (severe difficulty).

**SBL-3 IOL**

The SBL-3 (Figure A, available in the online version of this article) is a bi-aspheric asymmetrical refractive multifocal IOL, which has a +3.00-D addition in the inferior anterior optic. This translates to approximately +2.50 D at the spectacle plane. It has a small wedge-shaped transition zone separating the (superior) distance from the near power zone. The percentage of optic that is occupied by the near segment is 42%. The IOL length is 11.0 mm, with an optic size of 5.75 mm and it is manufactured from a hydrophilic acrylic material. The multifocal IOL has a neutral aberration profile, such as starburst, halo, glare, and ghost images/double vision on a scale between 1 (no difficulty) and 7 (severe difficulty).
allowing remnant corneal spherical aberration to impart some additional depth of focus. The diopter range is between +10.0 and +36.0 D with 0.50 increments, with the most commonly used mid powers (range: +15.0 to +25.0) being available in 0.25-D increments. The shape of the haptic is similar to the accommodating lens of the same manufacturer (Tetraflex; Lenstec, Inc.), however, it is three times wider and 1.5 times thicker. Compared to Tetraflex, the SBL-3 lens is not designed for axial movement of the optics to achieve accommodation.

**SURGICAL TECHNIQUE**

All surgeries were performed by two experienced surgeons (JAV, DB). The pupil was dilated with one pellet of Mydriaxert (Spectrum Thea Pharmaceuticals, Cheshire, United Kingdom). The surgery was performed under sub-Tenon anesthetic block. After phacoemulsification, the foldable IOL was inserted in the capsular bag through a 2.75-mm corneal incision using the manufacturer’s injector (Model LC1620I; Lenstec, Inc.). The IOL was positioned with the near sector opposite to the quadrant where the decentered visual axis was detected related to the pupil. All incisions were placed on the steepest corneal meridian, which was pre-marked with the patient in the upright position to prevent cyclotorsion. The nondominant eye was treated first, followed by the dominant eye 1 week later. Lens calculation was performed using the Holladay II formula.

Postoperatively, patients were instructed to instill one drop of levofloxacin 0.5% (Oftaquix; Santen Pharmaceuticals, Munich, Germany) four times daily for 2 weeks, one drop of dexamethasone 0.1% (Maxidex, Alcon Laboratories, Fort Worth, TX) four times daily for 2 weeks, and one drop of ketorolac trometamol 0.5% (Acular, Allergan, Irvine, CA) four times daily for 1 month.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Visual acuity measurements were converted to logMAR notation for statistical analysis. Normality of sample size was tested with the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. The Student’s paired t test was used to compare preoperative and postoperative data where normality of dataset was assumed; otherwise, the Wilcoxon rank-sum test was applied. Summary statistics, such as means and standard deviations, were presented to describe the study population. All data were analyzed using Microsoft Office Excel 2007 (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA) and the STATISTICA (StatSoft, Inc., Tulsa, OK) program. A P value of less than .05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

One hundred six eyes of 53 patients were included in the study (21 males, 32 females). The mean age of the study cohort was 58.2 ± 6.3 years (range: 48 to 71 years). The mean spherical power of the implanted IOL was 21.16 ± 3.14 D (range: 12.00 to 25.50 D) and the mean preoperative mesopic pupil size was 5.3 ± 0.8 mm (range: 3.0 to 6.7 mm). Twenty eyes were myopic and 86 eyes were hyperopic prior to surgery.

Figure 1 displays refractive stability of manifest spherical equivalent for a period of 6 months. Because no statistically significant difference was found between spherical equivalent at 3 and 6 months, all remaining graphs were plotted for 3-month data where thorough examination was performed.

The mean preoperative refractive sphere was +1.06 ± 2.63 D (range: -8.25 to +5.00 D) and changed to +0.11 ± 0.36 D, ranging from -0.75 to +1.00, (P < .01, Wilcoxon rank-sum test). The mean refractive cylinder reduced from -0.51 ± 0.46 D (range: -2.00 to 0.00 D) to -0.39 ± 0.37 D (range: -1.75 to 0.00 D). This change...
was statistically significant \( (P = .03, \text{Wilcoxon rank-sum test}) \). **Figure 2** plots the predictability of spherical equivalent. Of 106 eyes, 64.2\% (68 eyes) were within ±0.25 D, 84.9\% (90 eyes) were within ±0.50 D, and 99.1\% (105 eyes) were within ±1.00 D of emmetropia.

**Table 2** summarizes the mean logMAR values for postoperative near, intermediate, and distance visual acuity. The percentage of patients achieving uncorrected distance visual acuity of 6/6 (0.0 logMAR) or better was 87.7\% (93 eyes) monocularly and 94.3\% (100 eyes) binocularly. Of 106 eyes, 87.7\% (93 eyes) achieved monocular uncorrected near visual acuity and 98.1\% (104 eyes) of patients achieved binocular uncorrected near visual acuity of 6/9 (approximately J3) or better. The percentage of patients achieving monocular and binocular uncorrected intermediate visual acuity 6/9 or better was 80.2\% (85 eyes) and 90.6\% (96 eyes), respectively. **Figure 3** displays the cumulative binocular uncorrected distance, intermediate, and near visual acuities. The mean CDVA changed from -0.06 ± 0.07 logMAR (6/4.8 Snellen) preoperatively to -0.07 ± 0.06 logMAR (6/4.8 Snellen) postoperatively, which was not statistically significant \( (P = .26, \text{paired } t \text{-test}) \). Safety (change between preoperative and postoperative CDVA) is plotted in **Figure 4**.

**Figure 5** shows the mean monocular and binocular defocus curve. Monocular curve shows a peak of -2.50 D defocus (equivalent to 40 cm viewing distance from the eye) and at 0.0 D (equivalent to distance vision) with a slight drop off for intermediate distances (defocus: -1.50 for 67 cm and -1.0 for 100 cm). This drop off is much less obvious on the binocular defocus curve.

**Table 1** summarizes the results of the patient satisfaction questionnaire at 3 months postoperatively and mean scores for night vision disturbances.

No intraoperative or postoperative complications occurred in this study.

**DISCUSSION**

Attempts to combat presbyopia with multifocal IOL implants led to a development of different intraocular lens technologies. These can be divided into a few main categories: refractive, diffractive, and those combining both principles. Diffractive lenses are based on the principle of diffraction, whereby light changes direction when it encounters an obstacle (diffractive zones across the lens surface). The light is then directed into different focal points, for near and distant objects. On the other hand, refractive multifocal IOLs have different powers integrated into refractive zones. They can either be traditional, rotationally symmetric with circular refractive zones, or rotationally asymmetric with
inferior near section. The first commercially available rotationally asymmetric design (Lentis Mplus; Oculentis GmbH, Berlin) has already been extensively evaluated.3-16 The SBL-3 lens is based on the same principle of two refractive segments (near and distance), but the near segment extends closer to the peripheral optic, whereas the near sector in the Lentis Mplus is significantly regressed from the peripheral optic. Extended near segment could potentially result in fewer night vision optical disturbances and improved near vision.

A crucial role in the performance of any multifocal IOL is its predictability of refractive outcome. The new SBL-3 lens is manufactured in quarter diopter increments and ±0.11 D tolerance in the most commonly used diopteric range. Of 106 eyes analyzed in this study, 95 required a lens from this premium range (15.0 to 25.0 D). A recent large population study on predictability of cataract surgery17 found 40%, 75%, and 95% within ±0.25, ±0.50, and ±1.00 D of emmetropia. Providing these are our initial cases, refractive predictability could be improved further with the use of optimized A-constant. Refractive stability would, however, need to be evaluated over a long period of time. Studies of the previous design of rotationally asymmetric lenses also highlighted the importance of a haptic design to support the lens in the capsular bag, which provides better stability and predictability and avoids IOL tilt that can negatively affect the performance of this rotationally asymmetric design.16 This was previously addressed with the use of capsular tension rings and the introduction of a plate haptic design instead of a C-loop.12,13,15 Although we did not see any cases of refractive shift or IOL tilt in our cohort, long-term follow-up is necessary to assess this.

The mean near visual acuity in this study was 0.12 ± 0.12 logMAR (6/7.5 Snellen) monocularly and 0.08 ± 0.10 logMAR (6/7.5 Snellen) binocularly. Unaided near vision reported with previous design of refractive rotationally asymmetric lens (Lentis Mplus) ranged between 0.08 and 0.30 logMAR (6/7.5 and 6/12 Snellen).3-13 The mean unaided near visual acuity reported in the literature for diffractive lenses is 0.082 logMAR (6/7.5 Snellen) (95% confidence interval: 0.067 to 0.098), for refractive lenses 0.217 logMAR (6/9 Snellen) (95% confidence interval: 0.118 to 0.317), and 0.064 logMAR (6/7.5 Snellen) (95% confidence interval: 0.046 to 0.082) for the most commonly used hybrid diffractive-refractive lens (ReSTOR; Alcon Laboratories, Inc., Fort Worth, TX).3 We achieved excellent uncorrected distance visual acuity (-0.03 ± 0.09 logMAR [6/6 Snellen] monocularly and -0.08 ± 0.08 logMAR [6/4.8 Snellen] binocularly). This could be attributed to the refractive predictability of the lens, but also to
the fact that the mean preoperative CDVA in this study group was \(-0.06 \pm 0.07\) logMAR (6/4.8 Snellen) and a good visual rehabilitation for both distance and near vision was expected.

One of the greatest problems multifocal technology endeavors to overcome is the simultaneous achievement of good near and intermediate visual acuity. Generally, lenses with strong near addition have poor performance for intermediate vision, and lowering the near add to help intermediate distances results in poorer near vision.\(^{18-20}\) Mixing and matching two lens technologies was known as one of the options to overcome this problem.\(^{21,22}\) Interestingly, previous studies found a good range for intermediate vision with the Lentis Mplus refractive rotationally asymmetric lens.\(^{4,5,8-11,13,15}\) Authors attribute this to either the gradual transition zone between the two areas of the IOL or some induction of primary coma with this design, providing a larger depth of focus.\(^{4,9,11,15}\) To address the issue of providing good vision at all distances, a new trifocal technology emerged in recent years (FineVision IOL; PhysIOL, Liege, Belgium). The trifocal design is based on the idea of combining two diffractive profiles, one for distance and near and one for distance and intermediate.\(^{23}\) Published literature on this design found a good range of intermediate and near visual acuity on defocus curves, with only a slight drop off for intermediate distances.\(^{24,25}\) Defocus curve with the SBL-3 lens shows a similar profile to those reported with trifocal lenses. However, we achieved higher logMAR values for visual acuity at each level of defocus. Whether this is attributable to our sample characteristics (clear lens extraction patients with good preoperative CDVA compared to cataractous patients used in other studies) or to the SBL-3 lens providing clearer visual acuity at all distances compared to the diffractive design needs to be investigated in prospective comparative studies.

One of the most important factors resulting in patient dissatisfaction with multifocal technology is the overall reduced quality of vision, loss of contrast sensitivity, and night vision phenomena. The incidence of visual phenomena rated on a scale from 0 to 7 in our study was: starburst 2.8 ± 1.5, glare 3.0 ± 1.6, halos 3.2 ± 1.6, and double vision/ghost images 2.5 ± 1.6. Three months postoperative results are reported and neuroadaptation might still play a role in diminishing these symptoms. Despite some night vision phenomena, overall satisfaction with outcomes was high. In the design of the SBL-3 lens, loss of light in the transition between near and distance sector is negligible, and the lower the loss of energy with an IOL, the better contrast sensitivity and overall clarity of vision is expected. A limitation of our study is the absence of data on contrast sensitivity, which should be evaluated in future prospective studies and compared to a control group using a different IOL.

Good visual outcomes were achieved in our initial results of a new refractive rotationally asymmetric lens. The SBL-3 lens provided a good range of functional vision and no major complications were noted over a short follow-up period. A longer follow-up period is necessary to evaluate stability of this lens design.
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Figure A. The SBL-3 multifocal intraocular lens (Lenstec, Inc., Christ Church, Barbados).